

Appln No. 10/693,978  
Amdt. Dated May 17, 2006  
Response to Office Action of March 29, 2006

2

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The applicant thanks the Examiner for the detailed Office Action of March 29, 2006.

Claims 1 to 8 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Silverbrook (US 6,247,792). The prior art reference was the subject of a petition to amend the continuity data of the present application, which petition was dismissed in a decision dated 16 March 2006.

The Examiner is hereby advised that the Applicant filed a Renewed Petition on 30 March 2006. In light of the renewed petition, the Applicant submits that the Silverbrook reference does not constitute prior art on the present application, that accordingly the claims are novel and inventive and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Very respectfully,  
Applicant:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762